



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,833	12/21/2001	Frank L. Wu	016295.0730	2575

7590 03/29/2005

Khannan Suntharam
Baker Botts L.L.P.
One Shell Plaza
910 Louisiana Street
Houston, TX 77002-4995

EXAMINER

STOYNOV, STEFAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2116

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,833	WU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Stoynov	2116	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Stefan Stoynov.

(3) _____.

 (2) Roger Fulghum.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2005
Time: 5:15 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 1 and 20. Proposed final rejection of claims 1 and 20.

Claims discussed:

1 and 20


Prior art documents discussed:


US-6,317,879 B1, 11-2001, Jacobson at al.
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner called to request permission to cancel claims 1 and 20, as the limitations added to these claims don't distinguish over the prior art. Applicant agreed to the cancellation of claims 1 and 20. However, applicant requested that a final office action be sent to give him the opportunity to change the dependency of claims 2-11, 21, and 22.